

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/028,455	12/19/2001	John W. Mates	42390.P10589	2026
7590 06/10/2004		EXAMINER		
Cynthia Thomas Faatz BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard			LUU, THANH X	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2878	
Los Angeles, C	CA 90025-1026		DATE MAILED: 06/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			and the same of th
	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>
Advisory Action	10/028,455	MATES, JOHN W.	
Advisory Addion	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Thanh X Luu	2878	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 01 June 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be eithe condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of A Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11	to avoid abandonment of this er: (1) a timely filed amendme ppeal (with appeal fee); or (3)	application. A proper reply nt which places the applica	/ to a tion in
	R REPLY [check either a) or I	o)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailir b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date o no event, however, will the statutory period for reply e ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a) fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the pe fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration de (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. Se	of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date expire later than SIX MONTHS from the Y WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH.  The date on which the petition unduring of extension and the correspondate of the shortened statutory period the Office later than three months after	ne mailing date of the final rejections IS OF THE FINAL REJECTION.  er 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate and the appropriate and the appropriate and the final of the final	on. See MPEP  opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appel 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37)			
2. $\boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be enter	red because:		
(a) Ithey raise new issues that would require	further consideration and/or s	earch (see NOTE below);	
(b) $\square$ they raise the issue of new matter (see N	lote below);		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application</li><li>issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	tion in better form for appeal t	by materially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without ca	anceling a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claims	S.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following r	rejection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) we canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	vould be allowable if submitted	d in a separate, timely filed	amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) reques application in condition for allowance because		n considered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	d because it is not directed SC	DLELY to issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amend explanation of how the new or amended claim			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ows:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-21.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	_•		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a)□ approved or b)□	disapproved by the Exami	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stat	tement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)	

Thanh X Luu Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2878

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's proposed amendment changes the scope of the claims, requiring further consideration and/or search. Furthermore, Applicant's conclusory statements are not persuasive. Since the application is not in condition for allowance, this advisory action is proper.